

This record is a partial extract of the original cable. The full text of the original cable is not available.

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 01 OF 02 PARIS 003759

SIPDIS

FOR NP/CBM

E.O. 12958: DECL: 05/31/2015

TAGS: [FR](#) [KSCA](#) [PARM](#) [PREL](#) [MNUC](#) [TSPA](#) [ETTC](#)

SUBJECT: MISSILE TECHNOLOGY CONTROL REGIME (MTCR): MAY 26,
2005 PARIS POC MEETING (C)

REF: SECSTATE 96519

Classified By: EST COUNSELOR ROBERT W. DRY FOR REASONS 1.4, B, D

11. (C) EST Counselor participated in the May 26 MTCR Points-of-Contact (POC) meeting held at the South Korean Embassy in Paris and delivered the substance of points contained in reftel.

12. (C) The POC Session began with a briefing - based on a "first draft summary report" - by French MFA POC and MTCR Secretary David Bertolotti - of the Reinforced

SIPDIS

Points-of-Contact meeting held in Paris on April 5-6. (The EST Office faxed the unnumbered, draft summary 'Compte-rendu du Point de Contact Renforce (RPOC)' to NP/CBM on May 26.) This document should be treated as "a working document," according to Bertolotti, open to amendments by MTCR partners. An English version should be available shortly. The final of this paper is to be agreed upon prior to the September Madrid plenary session.

13. (C) Korean First Secretary provided an update regarding activities of Korean MTCR Chairman Oh. He noted that the Chairman had sent a letter dated April 12 to Ambassador Motoc of the UNSC regarding the Regime's willingness to contribute to the work of the UNSC pursuant to Resolution 1540. That letter has been distributed as POC document No. 86. He also mentioned that Chairman Oh had conducted an outreach mission to India on April 20, and that Ambassador Viturro de la Torre, incoming Spanish MTCR Chairman, and Mr. John Andrews, Co-Chair of the MTCR's IEM Committee, also participated. After the India visit, Chairman Oh recommended that subsequent MTCR chairpersons pursue 'follow up' meetings to maintain the momentum for cooperation between the MTCR and India. The report of the India mission was contained in POC document 94.

14. (C) The rescheduled dates for the Chairman's Pakistan (May 31) and UAE (June 7-8) visits were also highlighted. (Notices of these visits had already been transmitted by email.) The Argentinian representative to the POC meeting, seconded by the Brazilian, claimed that the short notice made it difficult, if not impossible, to send an expert from Buenos Aires. In addition, he said that it was against the spirit of the MTCR to permit only "missile technology experts (or the 'MTCR Troika')" from capitals for the Pakistan visit, a condition precedent imposed by the Pakistanis. Bertolotti commented that the conditions for MTCR outreach visits are often by necessity negotiated, and in dealing with Pakistan it is not unusual to be subjected to restraints. He noted that the subject of conditions imposed by countries subject to MTCR missions/outreach might be a useful one to take up at the Madrid plenary session. Finally, with regard to the UAE visit, in addition to MTCR-UAE consultations in Abu Dhabi, the Chairman is also attempting to arrange a visit to the Dubai Port Authority.

15. (C) The German representative noted his government's submission on intangibly transfers of technology (disseminated previously as POC 045) and solicited comments from the POC representatives. The Brazilian representative said that she would provide a comment from her government for dissemination. Bertolotti underscored the German government's request to receive written comments on its paper. He said that there ought to be a debate on the important subject of intangibles and non-proliferation.

16. (C) The Spanish representative indicated that preparations for the September 12-16 plenary in Madrid are firming and that he will soon provide in written form the practical and logistical information. Regarding the agenda, he indicated that this would be provided at the next POC meeting. (In an aside with EST Counselor, the Spanish representative said that his country's delegation to the early June HCOC meetings would use the occasion to discuss the draft agenda with Bertolotti, as well as the U.S. delegation representative, if desired. That would assure it would be ready for distribution at the next POC meeting, likely some time at the end of June or early July.)

17. (C) Following remarks by EST Counselor urging early

preparation of subsidiary agendas and documentation (per reftel), the Japanese representative noted that at the September plenary, the Japanese would like to discuss issues concerning North Korea and missile launches. Bertolotti commented that there will be much to discuss about North Korea in September, including the topic of demarches to potential customers and increased scrutiny of North Korean-flagged vessels.

WOLFF